5th International Workshop on HIV Drug Resistance and Treatment Strategies, June 4-8, 2001

Identification of Individual Mutations in HIV Protease Associated with Virologic Response to Lopinavir/ritonavir Therapy

V. Calvez¹, I. Cohen Codar², AG. Marcelin¹, D. Descamps¹, C. Tamalet¹, J. Ritter¹, M. Segondy¹, H. Peigue-Lafeuille¹, F. Brun-Vezinet¹, E. Guillevic², J. Isaacson³, R. Rode³, B. Bernstein³, E. Sun³, D. Kempf³, JP. Chauvin² ¹ ANRS HIV Resistance Group AC11, France; ²Abbott Laboratories, Rungis, France; ³Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL USA

iption of LPV/r-Co

10

Regimens

Other Bitherapy Tritherapy Quadritherapy

Pentatherapy
 Gigatherapy

BACKGROUND

Poster #082

Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r, Kaletra^w) is a novel HIV protease inhibitor (PI) that has shown significant antiviral activity and tolerability in clinical trials to date. The co-formulation of pointwir with a work one of the manual stream significant antivital activity and toterability in the initial trials to date. The co-formulation of pointwir with a work ose of nonexity carding as a pharmacolonetic enhancer, results in a mean LPV Ca_{mar}PC_{ca} matrix (mihibary quotient or IQ) for with-type virus 375 (at 400/100 mg BID dose), which contributes to the durability of the viral control and potentially provides a pharmacologic barrier to the emergence of viral resistance. temperator was reasonable, control may be compromised by materions induced by providue D1 therapies. In study M08-697, the viriality is reasonable of the study M08-697, the viriality is reasonable with related +000 therapies. The study M08-697, the viriality is reasonable with related +000 therapies. The study M08-697, the viriality is reasonable with related +000 therapies. The study M08-697, the viriality is reasonable with related +000 therapies. The study M08-697, the viriality is reasonable with related +000 therapies. The study M08-697, the viriality is reasonable with related +000 therapies. The study more than the study however, the number of patients was too small to adequately explore the effect of individual mitations on the response refer.

Figure 1. LPV/r Genetic Barrier in Multiple PI-Experienced, NNRTI-Naïve Patients, Study M98-957



METHODS

From March 2000 to April 17, 2001, the French Drug Agency authorized the prescription of LPV/r to patients with no other treatment option available through an ATU (Autorisation Temporaire d'Utilisation-patient's name based program). Such programs are dedicated to drugs not yet registered but which could represen potentially (He-swing medications. At the time of the ATU completion, so April 17, 2001, the versal enrollment in the LPV/r cohort was 3819 patients. The patient population was defined using very strict entrance criteria in the beginning, i.e. CD4 <200/mm⁺ and viral load >4 log₁₀ copies/mL; however, these immuno-virological criteria have been progressively removed to authorize the use of LPV/r in a larger PI-experienced population.

At baseline, demographic data, HIV-RNA and CD4, prior and current ARV medications, as well as genotype including the protease polymorphism, were collected. During the follow-up, HIV-RNA and CD4, as well as serious adverse events were collected. Learning the endowment, introduct and the statement and sentitude advertise events were collected.
Response was analyzed in all patients who had at least one viral load measurement a minimum of 10 days after the start of Kaletra therapy and a genotype that was not collected withing a twash-out period. Fasher's exact test was used to measure the association between virologic response and mutations at each of the 8399 antimo-acids in HIV at which any change from the wild-type sequence was noted. A stepwise logistic regression analysis was also used to test the independent effect of specific mutations on virologic response.

RESULTS

Table 1. Baseline Characteristic

A total of 700 patients were included in the analysis. Baseline characteristics of the population are provided in Table 1.

Gender		CD4 Count (cells/mm ²)	
Male	82.5%	<50	23.1%
		50 - 150	28.3%
Age (Years)		≥150	48.6%
Mean	41	Mean	177
Median	40	Median	144
		Standard Deviation	160
CDC Classification (N = 675)		Minimum	0
Asymptomatic (Stade A)	14.7%	Maximum	995
Symptomatic (Stade B)	31.3%		
AIDS – Indicator (Stade C)	54.1%	HIV RNA (log ₁₀ copies/mL)	
		<5 log ₁₀ copies/mL	56.6%
HIV Diagnosis Date (N = 610)		≥5 log., copies/mL	43.4%
< 1990	41.6%	Mean	4.83
1990 – 1995	42.3%	Median	4.88
≥ 1995	16.1%	Standard Deviation	0.76
		Minimum	1.54
Prior ARV Use (median)		Maximum	6.98
Pls	3	indomonia in the second s	0.00
NRTIs	5		
NNRTIS	1		

mitant Antiretroviral Therapy Concomitant ARVs in the LPV/r-containing regimens for the study population are provided in Figure 2. Nearly all patients (638/700, 91%) received either two or three additional drugs.

 The majority of patients receiving 2 additional drugs (292/395, 74%) received two NRTIs along with LPV/r. The majority of patients receiving 3 additional drugs (179/233, 77%) were almost evenly divided between those receiving three NRTIs and those receiving two NRTIs and one NNRTI. A relatively low percentage of patients received another PI concomitant with LPV/r (115/700, 16%).





Plasma HIV RNA data were available for analysis from a large group of patients through 4 months of LPV/r therapy for analysis. Virologic response was defined as a minimum viral load of <400 copies/mL and/or a decrease from baseline of at least 1.0 log₁₀ copies/mL at any time point during treatment.

Virologic response with respect to baseline HIV RNA and baseline CD4 are provided in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Visit frequency was determined by standard
of care; thus, for the assessment of response, 30-day intervals were arbitrarily adopted.

Figure 3. Virologic Response According to Baseline Viral Load Figure 4. Virologic Response According to Baseline CD4 Count

Figure 2a.



aseline Genotype

- The prevalence of baseline mutations in the study population was high (Table 2).
 The median number of PI and NRTI mutations was 7 and 4, respectively.
 400 patients (5%) had 5 or more baseline PI mutations.
 475 patients (6%) had 4 tables IP mutations and 4 NRTI mutations.
 S24 patients (6%) had 4 tables IP mutations and 4 NRTI mutations.

Table 2. Baseline NRTI and PI Mutations

No. of Mutations	NRTI Mutations*	PI Mutations*
0 - 3	224 (32%)	147 (21%)
4 – 5	280 (40%)	153 (22%)
6 - 7	169 (24%)	225 (32%)
8 - 10	26 (4%)	171 (24%)
>10	1	4 (1%)
* PI mutations include: L10F/VR/V, K mutations include: M41L, A62V, K6	20M/R, L24I, D30N, V32I, L33F, M36I, M46I/L, I47V, G48V, I50V, F53L, I54L/T/V, L63P, A71I/L/V/T, G73A/S 5R, D67N, T69D, 69Ins, K70R, L74V, V75I, F77L, Y115F, F116Y, Q151M, M184V/I, L210W, T215Y/F, K219	/T, V771, V82A/F/S/T, I84V, N88D and L90M. NRTI Q.

 The majority of patients (386, 55%) had at least one major NNRTI mutation (positions 103, 181 and/or 101), while 232 (33%) patients had no NNRTI mutation Response was initially analyzed with respect to the LPV mutation score, which includes the number out of 11 protease mutations previously described to be
associated with reduced susceptibility to opinavir (amino acids 10, 20, 24, 46, 53, 54, 63, 71, 82, 84 and 90). The prevalence of mutations over mutation scores is provided in Figure 5.







 Longitudinal virologic response with respect to categorical descriptors of the LPV mutation score (0-5 mutations and 6-9 mutations) is shown in Figure 7. The
response in those patients with 0-5 mutations was significantly lower than compared to those with 6 or more mutations. Figure 7. Longitudinal Response with Respect to Baseline LPV Mutation Score



 Overall virologic response was fairly uniform in patients with LPV mutation score of 5 or less. Lower response was observed at mutation score of 6 or more (Figure 8). The overall response with LPV mutation score of ≤5 and ≥6 was 380/482 (79%) and 125/218 (57%), respectively, p <0.0001. Figure 8. Overall Virologic Response with Respect to Baseline LPV Mutation Score



Based on the above observations, the genotypic breakpoint for LPV/r using the LPV mutation score is best described as being between 5 and 6 mutations at baseline

Virologic response in the context of differences in baseline LPV mutation score, baseline HIV RNA and baseline CD4 is provided in Table 3. Overall, response was highest in those patients with >150 CD4 cells/mm³ and lowest in those with <50 CD4 cells/mm³. Baseline viral load appeared to be less important than the response to the patients in the patient of the

Table 3. Virologic Response According to Baseline CD4, VL and LPV Mutation Score

Baseline CD4 / Baseline VL	<50 ce	lls/mm³	50-150 c	ells/mm ³	≥150 ce	lls/mm³
≥5 log ₁₀ copies/mL	>5 mut.	≤5 mut.	>5 mut.	≤5 mut.	>5 mut.	≤5 mut.
	14/43	47/69	19/38	46/57	20/26	58/69
	(33%)	(68%)	(50%)	(81%)	(77%)	(84%)
<5 log ₁₀ copies/mL	>5 mut.	≤5 mut.	>5 mut.	≤5 mut.	>5 mut.	≤5 mut.
	8/19	21/29	18/31	50/70	43/57	157/184
	(42%)	(72%)	(58%)	(71%)	(75%)	(85%)

The effect of the LPV mutation score on response appeared to be the greatest at lower CD4 levels. In those patients with <50 CD4 cells/mm², the response
was twice as high in those with mutation score of 5 or fewer (6898, 69%), compared to those with mutation score of 6 or higher (2262, 35%). In contrast, the
difference in response rates in patients with >50 CD4 cells/mm² between the above two groups was <10% (85% was 76%), responsively.

Analysis of Response with Respect to Individual Mutation

 In univariate analyses, baseline mutations at positions 82, 54, 10 and 46 were statistically significantly associated with lower virologic response. Baseline
mutations at positions 20, 63 and 33 were marginally associated with lower response (Table 4). Table 4. Association of Virologic Response with Individual Mutations in HIV Protease

	Virologic	Virologic Response		
Amino Acid Position	With Mutation	Without Mutation	P-value	
82	193/308 (63%)	312/392 (80%)	<0.0001	
54	178/287 (62%)	327/413 (79%)	<0.0001	
10	291/438 (66%)	214/262 (82%)	<0.0001	
46	205/306 (67%)	300/394 (76%)	0.0084	
20	129/196 (66%)	376/504 (75%)	0.0241	
63	399/567 (70%)	106/133 (80%)	0.0318	
33	27/46 (59%)	478/654 (73%)	0.0414	

In a stepwise logistic regression analysis that considered all mutations in HIV protease, baseline mutations at positions 82, 54, 10 and 46 were shown to be independently associated with lower virologic response (Table 5).

Table 5. Multivariate Analysis of Virologic Response with Respect to Baseline Mutations					
Mutation	Odds Ratio	95% C.I. for the Odds Ratio	P-value		
54	1.60	(1.03, 2.47)	0.035	7	
10	1.64	(1.10, 2.46)	0.015		
82	1.47	(0.95, 2.27)	0.087		
46	1.30	(0.92, 1.85)	0.141		

CONCLUSIONS

- In 700 patients with heavy three-class ARV experience and a high prevalence of baseline resistance, the virologic response to therapy containing LPV/r is highly associated with baseline genotype
- Virologic response was higher in patients with baseline LPV mutation score of 5 or less than in patients with baseline LPV mutation score of 6 or greater These results confirm earlier studies that suggested a genotypic breakpoint between 5 and 6 mutations (out of 11 in the LPV mutation score) for LPV/r-containing regimens in PI-experienced patients.
- In univariate and multivariate analyses, 4 of the 11 mutations in the LPV mutation score (positions 82, 54, 10 and 46) were independently associate virologic response. Two additional mutations from the LPV mutation score (positions 20 and 63) were marginally associated with lower response analyses. Or mutation that is outside the LPV mutation score (positions 30 was also marginally associated with lower response.
- LPV mutation score and baseline CD4 appeared to be more important predictors of virologic response than baseline HIV RNA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The essential contributions of the following individuals to this work are gratefully acknowledged:

Dr E Kohli, CHU du Bocage, Dijon Dr A Schmuck, CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble

Dr J Cottalorda, Hopital Pasteur, Nice Dr ML Chaix, Hopital Necker, Paris Dr F Ferchal, Hopital Saint Louis, Paris

- Dr L Morand-Joubert, Hopital Saint Antoine, Pans Dr V Schneider, Hopital Rotschild, Paris Dr A Si Mohamed, Hopital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris Dr V Brodard, Hopital Robert Debre, Reims
- Dr A Ruffault, Hopital Pontchaillou, Rennes
- Dr J Izopet, Hopital Purpan, Toulouse Pr E Dussaix, Hopital Paul Brousse, Villejuif